

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/738,366	12/17/2003	Frank Akselberg	MRKS/0132	8553
. 7	590 02/01/2006		EXAM	INER
WILLIAM B	. PATTERSON	SMITH, MATTHEW J		
MOSER, PAT	TERSON & SHERIDA			
Suite 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3040 Post Oak Blvd.			3672	
Houston, TX 77056				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/738,366	AKSELBERG, FRANK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Matthew J. Smith	3672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 De	ecember 200 <u>5</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
,	arriller. Note the attached Office	Action of 101111 F 10-102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>17Dec03</u>.</li> </ul>		atent Application (PTO-152)				

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Patel (6302216).

Patel discloses a sequential hydraulic control system comprising: providing a system with hydraulic fluid; a first pressure relief valve 232 set to open at a first pressure (col. 8, line 47); second pressure relief valve 274 set to open at a second pressure (col. 8, line 46); the second pressure greater than the first (2500 psi and 3000 psi); transmitting the pressure of a downhole working fluid to the hydraulic fluid (col. 9, lines 64-67; col. 10, lines 1-32); the valves provide flow to actuators 22, 24; the fluid pressure controlled regulating the fluid flow rate by draining through throttle valve 15; and dividing piston 240 arranged to be influenced by fluid pressure and transmit fluid pressure to the valves.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/738,366

Art Unit: 3672

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 6, 8, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patel in view of Kilgore (6651749).

Patel discloses two pressure relief valves actuated at different pressures but not a booster having the one end's area greater than the other end's area.

Kilgore presents an area of the dividing piston 10 acted on by the working fluid larger than the area of the piston acting on the hydraulic fluid so that the pressure of the hydraulic fluid is higher than the pressure of the working fluid, the pressure from the working fluid transmitted to the hydraulic fluid by means of a booster (fig. 1); the dividing piston forms part of a booster, and the area of the dividing piston acted on by the working fluid is greater than the area of the dividing piston acting on the hydraulic fluid.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a booster, as presented by Kilgore, in the Patel system in order to increase pressure sufficient to operate a downhole device without the necessity of increasing tubing pressure (Kilgore, col. 1, line 50-53).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 4, filed 20 December 2005, with respect to the rejections of claims 1-11 under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103, respectively have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

Art Unit: 3672

However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Patel. The Patel mandrel is considered a piston.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Leismer et al. (6247536) show valves actuated in response to different pressures (col. 26, lines 46-67; col. 27).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3672

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-7034. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F, 9-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Bagnell

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3672

MJS MS 25 January 2006